Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,917	BRYDEN, RAYMOND H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Stein	1775
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) Stephen J. Stein (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Jeffrey Abel (Applicant's Representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 50 and 65		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
11-1		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(Applical	ppca.it o i topi ocolita	Jigitatato ii appropriato)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's representative was called and informed that after allowance, it was discovered that pending claims 50 and 65 were dependent on cancelled claims. It was agreed to change the dependency of claims 50 and 65 by Examiner's Amendment to make them dependent on claims 48 and 63 respectively. Agreement to this amendment fixed the dependency problem so that the application could go to issue.